



## Multicut and integral multiflow : a survey.

Marie-Christine Costa, Lucas Létocart, Frédéric Roupin

### ► To cite this version:

Marie-Christine Costa, Lucas Létocart, Frédéric Roupin. Multicut and integral multiflow : a survey.. European Journal of Operational Research, 2005, 162-1, pp.55-69. hal-00003243v2

**HAL Id: hal-00003243**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00003243v2>**

Submitted on 13 Jul 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Erratum to “M.-C. Costa, L. Létocart and F. Roupin. Minimal multicut and maximal integer multiflow: a survey” [European Journal of Operational Research 162(1) (2005), 55-69]

C. Bentz <sup>a</sup>, M.-C. Costa <sup>a,\*</sup>, L. Létocart <sup>b</sup>, F. Roupin <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CEDRIC, CNAM, 292 rue Saint Martin, 75141 Paris cedex 03, France

<sup>b</sup> LIPN, Institut Galilée, Université Paris XIII, avenue J.B. Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France

<sup>c</sup> CEDRIC, CNAM-IIE, 18 allée Jean Rostand, 91025 Evry cedex, France

Page 56, first paragraph (also page 59, beginning of the second paragraph on the right column; Page 60, beginning of the last paragraph on the right column; Page 67, first paragraph on the right column): actually, for these problems, the general directed case is “harder” than the undirected one, because there exists a linear reduction from the latter to the former (see (70.9) on p. 1224 in [A. Schrijver. Combinatorial Optimization - Polyhedra and Efficiency. Algorithms and Combinatorics 24 (2003). Springer]).

Page 59, on the top of the right column: the algorithm in [26] does not provide an approximate solution for IMFP.

Page 61, first paragraph on the left column (also page 66 (table), line “Directed graphs”, column “Multiterminal cut”): actually, the algorithm of Naor and Zosin [50] provides a 2-approximation for the MULTITERMINAL CUT problem in directed graphs.

Page 61, Section 3.4.2 (also page 62, last paragraph before Section 4.2; Page 66 (table), line “Undirected graphs”, column “EdgeDisjPath”; Page 67, end of the first paragraph on the right column): actually, although the *edge-disjoint paths problem* is tractable for fixed  $K$  in undirected graphs [55] and in acyclic digraphs [22], the MAX EDGEDISJPATH problem is NP-Hard in undirected graphs and in acyclic digraphs for  $K = 2$  [21].

Page 65, first paragraph of Section 4.4: actually, in [59], Sebö proved that the demand version of IMFP is polynomial-time solvable in this case, but not IMFP.

Page 66 (table), line “Undirected graphs”, column “IMFP”: actually, it is known that IMFP is NP-Hard to approximate within  $m^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$  in directed graphs only, while in undirected graphs a recent (and weaker) result is that it is NP-Hard to approximate within  $(\log m)^{\frac{1}{3}-\epsilon}$  [M. Andrews and L. Zhang. Hardness of the undirected edge-disjoint paths problem. Proceedings STOC’05 (2005)].

---

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +33-140-272524; Fax: +33-140-272296

E-mail addresses: cedric.bentz@cnam.fr (C. Bentz), costa@cnam.fr (M.-C. Costa), lucas.letocart@lipn.univ-paris13.fr (L. Létocart), roupin@iie.cnam.fr (F. Roupin).